Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary		Application No.	Applicant(s)
	nan/	10/811,444	LIU ET AL.
	iai y	Examiner	Art Unit
	_	Ashok Patel	2879
All Participants:		Status of Application	n:
(1) <u>Ashok Patel</u> .		(3)	
(2) <u>Mr. Jeffrey Knapp</u> .		(4)	
Date of Interview: 20 September 2006		Time:	
Type of Interview:  ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant  Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ Yes, provide a brief description:	☐ Applica	ant's representative)	
Part I.			
Rejection(s) discussed: none			
Claims discussed: 1-4, 7-23 and 27			
Prior art documents discussed:  Urayama et al (USPN 6650061)	-		
Part II.			
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING TO See Continuation Sheet	HE GENE	RAL NATURE OF WHA	T WAS DISCUSSED:
Part III.			
<ul> <li>It is not necessary for applicant to provide a directly resulted in the allowance of the applic of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.</li> <li>It is not necessary for applicant to provide a did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief</li> </ul>	cation. Th separate	e examiner will provide record of the substance	a written summary of the substance of the interview, since the interview
			·
Asul			
(Examiner/SPE Signature)	(Applican	t/Applicant's Representa	tive Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: the Examiner contacted Mr. Knapp to further amend claims 1-4, 7-23 and 27 in order to overcome prior art rejection of claims 1-4, 7-23 and 27 in view of newly discovered prior art reference Urayama et al (USPN 6650061). Mr. Knapp reviewd the Urayama et al prior art reference and proposed the Examiner amendment of at least independent claims 1, 7 and 17 to patentably distinguish the claimed invention from the Urayama et al prior art reference. Mr. Knapp agreed to submit the formal amendment of at least independent claims 1, 7 and 17 by Fax to the Examiner for consideration.